UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,630	12/23/2005	Kyouhiro Yoshida	20057-002US1 PCT-2004-008	8597
26211 FISH & RICHA	7590 03/19/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		CHEN, XIAOLIANG		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,630	YOSHIDA, KYOUHIRO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	XIAOLIANG CHEN	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 i	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2005 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ ob e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli ority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-17-07, 12-23-05.	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The examiner agrees with the applicant's argument that the references of Maruyama et al. is not prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and the references of Asano et al. is not prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) because of the PCT filing date.

Therefore, the rejections of claims 1-2 and 4-6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been withdrawn, and the rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) involving Asano et al. has been withdrawn.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maruyama et al. (US20040208211) in view of Uchida (US20020109074).

Re claim 1, Maruyama et al. clearly show and disclose

A communication module comprising:

a semiconductor member (7, fig. 1B);

Art Unit: 2859

a printed circuit board (3, fig. 1B) on which said semiconductor member is mounted and to which said semiconductor member is electrically connected (fig. 1B);

a stem (1, fig. 1C) through which said board is inserted and to which said board is then fixed; and

a cap (11, fig. 1C) so disposed as to cover said semiconductor member.

Maruyama et al. does not disclose the printed circuit board being a flexible printed circuit board.

Uchida teaches a device wherein

the printed circuit board being a flexible printed circuit board (a flexible board [0336]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a flexible printed circuit board as taught by Uchida in the electronic device of Maruyama et al., for easier mounting the printed circuit board. (For example, it is also possible to bend the substrate itself 90 degrees and mount it. Uchida, paragraph [0337])

Re claim 2, Maruyama et al. clearly show and disclose

The communication module according to Claim 1, wherein the semiconductor member is at least one of a light emitting element (6, fig. 1C), a light receiving element (8) and an integrated circuit (33, fig. 3C).

Re claim 3, Maruyama et al. clearly show and disclose

The communication module according to Claim 1,

Maruyama et al. dose not disclose wherein the flexible printed circuit board including a type of lines selected from among coplanar lines, micro-strip lines, and grounded coplanar lines.

Uchida teaches a device wherein

the flexible printed circuit board includes a type of lines selected from among coplanar lines, micro-strip lines, and grounded coplanar lines (micro strip line [0336]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the flexible printed circuit board with the micro strip line as taught by Uchida in the electronic device of Maruyama et al., in order to increase the mounting area and provide EMI-free optical wring of the flexible printed circuit board. (Uchida, paragraph [0337])

Re claim 4, Maruyama et al. clearly show and disclose

The communication module according to Claim 1,

wherein a plurality of different flexible printed circuit boards (different wiring boards and layers in fig. 2 also could use the flexible printed circuit boards as in claim 1) are fixed to the stem.

Re claim 5, Maruyama et al. clearly show and disclose

The communication module according to Claim 1, wherein an end of the flexible printed circuit board(s) that protrudes from the stem has a connector (113, fig. 21B) that can connect to a subsequent-stage circuit board (400, fig. 22A).

Art Unit: 2859

Re claim 6, Maruyama et al. clearly show and disclose

The communication module according to Claim 1, wherein the flexible printed circuit board(s) exhibits a bent-shape (the flexible substrate 400 is previously bent in the trapezoidal shape for use [0108]) when in a plane.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-20030001250 US-20020105279 US-20010024461 US-20020074157.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XIAOLIANG CHEN whose telephone number is (571)272-9079. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-5:00 (EST), Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800, ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dean A. Reichard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2841 Xiaoliang Chen Examiner Art Unit 2841